

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/605,846	10/30/2003	David Sacquitne	16729-1	2845
23486	7590 04/13/2004		EXAM	INER
SHUTTLEWORTH & INGERSOLL, P.L.C. 115 3RD STREET SE, SUITE 500			PETRAVICK, MEREDITH C	
P.O. BOX 2107 CEDAR RAPIDS, IA 52406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/605,846	SACQUITNE, DAVID		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Meredith C Petravick	3671		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of 16 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replicion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH y statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	·			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 in Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the second	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecto the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. Iments have been received in App e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage		
	:			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/942) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/2003. 	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/605,846

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bozarth 2,500,448.

Bozarth discloses a sieve in a combine harvester including:

- a frame (15) attached to the harvester
- a plurality of longitudinal planks (11-13) pivotally combine with the frame so as to pivot about their longitudinal axis
- a plurality of sieve holes (inherent in a screen)
- a pendulum (36) engaged to the planks for pivoting the planks

In regards to claim 2, the planks are combined with the frame by pivot pins (18-20).

In regards to claim 3, longitudinal dividers (25-26) are positioned between the planks.

In regards to claim 5, levers (21-23) and a rod (24) operatively connect the pendulum to the planks.

In regards to claim 9, the pendulum has a leash (39).

In regards to claim 10, the method is disclosed in the use of the apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,846

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bozarth in view of Ricketts et al. 5,041,059 and Silvis et al. 744,696.

Bozarth discloses the device described above. However, Bozarth does not disclose any details of the structure of the screen.

Like Bozarth, Ricketts et al. discloses a screen for a combine harvester. Unlike Bozarth, Ricketts et al. teaches that the sieve is made from louvers that are angled rearwardly with openings (88). Ricketts et al. teaches the openings can have different configurations (Column 6, lines 56-58).

Like Bozarth and Ricketts et al., Silvis et al. discloses a screen (Fig. 8) for a grain separator. However, in Ricketts et al. the screen has oblong openings (r6).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the screen in Bozarth have oblong opening as in Silvis et al. that are rewardly angled as taught in Ricketts et al., as one commonly know type of screen used to separate grain.

5. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bozarth.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,846

Art Unit: 3671

Bozarth discloses the claimed invention except for providing only three planks instead of four or five. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide one or two additional planks, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meredith Petravick whose telephone number is 703-305-0047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 a.m. 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 703-308-3870.

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113. The fax number for this Group is 703-872-9306.

Meredith Petravick Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 3671

April 5, 2004